

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/224,696	01/04/1999	CASSONDRA L. CROTTY	BU9.97.226	3386
	7.	590 02/12/2002			
	KEVIN R. CASEY RATNER & PRESTIA ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN P O BOX 980 SUITE 301			EXAMINER	
				HARRISON, CHANTE E	
		GE, PA 194820980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2672		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/224,696

Crotty et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Chante' Harrison

Art Unit 2672



The MAILING DATE of this communication ar	ppears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con 	if 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state	tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply	will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	fter the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan</u>	7, 2002				
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
•	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
<u> </u>	s have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom					
Attachment(s)					
15) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) 🛣 Other:				

Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: CPA, filed on 11/28/01.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in the case. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 8-11 and 13-15 are independent claims.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated

by Schwuttke et al., U.S. Patent 6,222,547, 4/2001.

As per independent claim 1, Schwuttke discloses generating a grid based on a plurality of

data values (col. 6-7, ll. 63-5), associating each data value with a geometric shape according to a

predetermined set of rules (col. 6, ll. 29-34; col. 7, ll. 10-27), placing the shapes on the grid (col.

7, ll. 20-24) and displaying visual and geometric information placed on the grid in graphical form

(FIGS. 4-7).

As per independent claim 2, Schwuttke discloses identifying a plurality of numerical

attributes associated with each data value (FIG. 2; col. 6, ll. 8-29; col. 7, ll. 3-5, 11-18) and

associating each numerical attribute with a visual attribute (col. 7, ll. 3-5, 11-18). The rejection as

applied to claim 1 is included herein.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 3, Schwuttke discloses extracting a plurality of data values

associated with a matrix (FIG. 7; col. 6-7, ll. 63-5) from the geometric representation (FIGS. 4 &

5). The rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

As per independent claims 5 and 9, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium having

code for implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 5, ll. 37-45). Thus the rejection as applied to

claim 1 is included herein.

As per independent claims 6 and 10, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium

having code for implementing the method of claim 2 (col. 5, ll. 37-45). Thus the rejection as

applied to claim 2 is included herein.

As per independent claims 7 and 11, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium

having code for implementing the method of claim 3 (col. 5, 11. 37-45). Thus the rejection as

applied to claim 3 is included herein.

As per independent claim 13, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for

implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 5, ll. 37-45). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 1 is

included herein.

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claims 14, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for implementing the method of claim 2 (col. 5, ll. 37-45). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 2 is included herein.

As per independent claims 15, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for implementing the method of claim 3 (col. 5, ll. 37-45). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 3 is included herein.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4,8, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwuttke et al., U.S. Patent 6,222,547, 4/2001, 345/440.

As per dependent claims 4, 8, 12 and 16, Schwuttke fails to disclose a conductance matrix. However it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the teachings of Schwuttke because he graphically displays electrical data in a matrix (col 7, ll. 23 et seq.; FIGS. 2 & 4; col. 6, ll. 8 et seq.).

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/7/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. A grid generated based on data values is inherent based on the Schwuttke disclosure because each block represented in a column of FIG. 5A represents a grid that corresponds to a category of data having a plurality of associated nominal values. Additionally, a grid is only generated in each block where data is represented. Each nominal value is displayed in a grid using representative graphical symbols. Therefore the rejection in view of Schwuttke is maintained.

Art Unit: 2672

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is (703) 305-3937.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Millh L